

FORWARD PLAN OF DECISIONS

Each month the Council publishes a Forward Plan of Decisions expected to be taken during the following six months.

A “Key Decision” is an Executive-side Decision which is likely to:

- (a) result in the council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the council’s budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; or
- (b) be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the area of the local authority.

Key Decisions can only be taken by the Cabinet, the Leader or an individual Cabinet Member.

Decisions which should be regarded as Key Decisions because they are likely to have a significant effect either in financial terms or on the Council’s services to the community include:

- (a) Decisions about expenditure or savings over £1,000,000 which are not provided for within the approved budget or Medium Term Financial Plan
- (b) Adoption of major new policies not already included in the Policy Framework (Constitution Appendix 3) or changes to established policies
- (c) Approval of management and business plans
- (d) Decisions that involve significant service developments, significant service reductions, or significant changes in the way that services are delivered, whether County-wide or in a particular locality. For example, closure of a school, approval of a major project (such as a highway scheme) or programme of works, major changes in the eligibility criteria for provision of a service, major changes in the fees charged for a service, or proposals that would result in a service currently provided in-house being outsourced.
- (e) Decisions where the consequences are likely to result in compulsory redundancies or major changes in the terms and conditions of employment of a significant number of employees in any of the Council’s functions.

Preparation of the Forward Plan helps the Council to programme its work and ensures compliance with the Local Government Act 2000. Every month, the period covered by the Plan will be rolled forward by one month and the plan will be republished.

The Plan outlines the consultation that is proposed in respect of future decisions and who members of the public and the Council should contact to make comments on any particular item. Anyone is entitled to obtain copies of the documents that will be relied upon when a decision is taken, unless those documents are ‘Exempt’ within the meaning of the relevant sections of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended).

Reports related to decisions will be published on the Council's web site at www.kent.gov.uk at least five days before the decision it is due to be taken. Once the decision has been taken, a copy of the Record of Decision will also be published on the Council's website.

The Kent County Council Cabinet Members are:	
Mr Paul Carter	Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Health Reform
Mr Peter Oakford	Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance and Traded Services
Miss Susan Carey	Cabinet Member for Customers, Communications and Performance
Mr Mark Dance	Cabinet Member for Economic Development
Mr Graham Gibbens	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health
Mr Roger Gough	Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education
Mr Mike Hill	Cabinet Member for Community and Regulatory Services
Mr Eric Hotson	Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services
Mrs Catherine Rankin	Cabinet Member for Strategic Commissioning
Mr Mike Whiting	Cabinet Member for Planning, Transport, Highways and Waste

All Members can be contacted by writing to Kent County Council, Sessions House, County Hall, Maidstone, Kent, ME14 1XQ or by email via the Council's website.

NEW

NOT BEFORE 15 JANUARY 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education</p> <p>Reference No: Section 13A of the Education Act 1996 states every admission authority must, before the beginning of each school year determine the admission arrangements which are to apply for that year 7. Local authorities are required by Section 92 of the School Standards and Framework Act and the Education (School Information) (England) Regulations 2008 to publish admission arrangements for all maintained schools in their area. Under section 88(1A) of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (as inserted by section 42 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006) it is the duty of a governing body of a community or voluntary controlled school, for which a local authority is the admissions authority, to implement any decision relating to the admission of pupils taken by the admission authority. Similarly, under section 89(3A) of the 1998 Act and regulations, the governing body of a voluntary aided or foundation school must implement a decision of the local authority, made under the relevant co-ordi</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.</p>
<p>Title: 18/00069 - Admission Arrangements and Scheme for 2020-21 Academic Year</p> <p>The Decision needed: To determine the primary and secondary school co-ordinated admissions schemes for 2020 and the admission arrangements for Kent community and voluntary controlled primary schools and the admission arrangements for Kent community and voluntary controlled secondary schools 2020</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education</p> <p>Date: Not before January 2019</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision These will be identified in the report that is discussed by Education Cabinet Committee on 11 January 2019</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

Admission authorities are legally required to determine admissions arrangements for their schools by 28 February each year. It is intended that admissions arrangements for schools where KCC is the admissions authority are to remain broadly unchanged. Currently, in line with legislation, the highest priority is given to children in care and those adopted from care in England and Wales. However, following a request to admissions authorities from Nick Gibb, Minister for School Standards, KCC has consulted on the inclusion of a new oversubscription criterion immediately after the highest priority to enable children that were adopted from care from establishments outside of the UK to have the next highest priority. The consultation is due to close on the 10th December after which a report will need to summarise feedback to inform whether the new criterion is to be formally determined as a new addition the admission arrangements for schools where Kent is the admissions authority. In addition to the above proposal which would apply to all LA controlled schools if agreed, it is also proposed that there are changes to the priority zone in the admissions arrangements for Tunbridge Wells Grammar School for Boys and a new priority zone is proposed for St Peters CE Primary School, both are detailed in the consultation documentation available through the link detailed below.

Local Authorities are also required to consult with all schools within their geographical boundaries on an Admissions Scheme, which details how the co-ordinated and in year admissions processes will operate for the forthcoming year.

Following the completion of both consultations, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education are requested to approve the proposed admission arrangements and scheme.

Public consultation held between 29 October 2018 and 10 December 2018. Findings are to be included in a report to Cabinet Committee on 11 January 2019.

https://consultations.kent.gov.uk/consult.ti/School_Admission_Arrangements/consultationHome

This decision will be considered by Children, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee on 11 January 2019 before the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education takes his final decision.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

None identified

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Reference No: We have a duty, under Section 22 of the Children Act 1989, to safeguard and promote the welfare of each child we look after. Under Section 27 of the Act, local authorities are entitled to expect other authorities and certain NHS bodies to assist them in discharging their functions to children in need, looked after children and their parents and carers. The Children Act 2004 introduced a new duty (Section 10) to co-operate at a strategic level on local authorities, CCGs and other relevant children's services partners. The same partners were also placed under a duty (Section 11) to make arrangements to ensure that they take account of the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children in the discharge of their own functions. Any change in approach would need to be agreed jointly between the Council, CCG and NEFLT further legal implications will be included in the report to CYPE Cabinet Committee on 11 January 2019.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00071 - Children and Young People's Mental Health Services, Funded by Kent County Council

The Decision needed:

KCC will work with the CCG to review and vary the existing contracts, potentially terminating the section 76 agreement and putting in place arrangements which allow KCC to directly manage the KCC funded NELFT contracts.

Background

KCC, Children, Young People and Education (CYPE) Directorate, Public Health and the 7 Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) across Kent have worked together since 2015 to implement a county wide Children and Young People Mental Health service partnership model. This model includes the provision of universal education services, early intervention services for children and young people experiencing early stage wellbeing difficulties, targeted services for those with a high complexity of need and specialist services for those young people with complex and serious mental health conditions.

To work together in the integrated model the Council entered into a Section 76 (S.76) arrangement with West Kent CCG (North, West and East Kent) and Thanet CCG (East Kent), for the NELFT contract to provide services to support:

- Priority assessment for Looked After Children;
- Work in Early Help Units, to intervene early and stop escalation into Tier 3 mental health services;
- Children at risk of, or subject to, the effects of harmful sexual behaviour; and

- Kent Health Needs Education Service (KHNES)

In April 2017, NELFT were awarded the contract to run CYPMHS for 5-years from 1st September 2017 with a total contract value of £82,504,977 over 5-years (£15,964,287 p.a.). Of this KCC fund four key areas; Early Help (£1.2m); Children in Care (£1m); Kent Health Needs Education Service (£240k); and Children at risk of or subject to harmful sexual behaviour (£217k) total £2.657m p.a. over 5-years.

Other options were considered in terms of how best to better manage the KCC elements of the CYPMHS. These included:

- Setting up additional contract management processes, with support from the CCGs,
- Establishing an alternative model of provision and ending the current arrangements.

Having considered the above options, including discussing them with Cabinet Committee, working with the CCG to vary the contract, with the potential for terminating the s76 agreement so that KCC would be managing NELFT directly for the Council's new model of contracts, as proposed in this forthcoming decision, was identified as the most appropriate option to progress.

KCC is committed to working in partnership with the NHS and the provider to manage the challenges that are facing mental health services for children and young people. KCC wishes to retain a partnership approach with the NHS and to review how to make the model work in the interests of children and young people.

However, KCC also has to ensure that the contribution it makes to the contracts in place are delivering the expected outcomes that the Local Authority is responsible for.

By reviewing the contract KCC can make the necessary changes to ensure that the people of Kent get the best outcomes and receive more effective services.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Date:

Not before January 2019

Reason if Key Decision

It is not expected that the contract variation will have a negative impact on directly service delivery. However, the Equalities Impact Assessment from the original contract will be reviewed as part of this work.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

CYPE Cabinet Committee have received several reports on the subject:

CYPE Cabinet Committee – 10 July (as part of a report on Emotional Wellbeing and Mental Health Services for Children and Young People in Kent)

CYPE Cabinet Committee – 29 November

(The three options detailed above were presented for consideration to Cabinet Committee and further discussed via a Member briefing)

The final draft proposed decision will be presented to the CYPE Cabinet Committee for consideration on 11 January 2019, prior to the decision being taken by the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

The KCC element of the CYP Mental Health Service contract is worth £2.657m over 5 years. The proposed decision would allow KCC to manage the payment to the provider directly. There is existing capacity within the service to undertake the variation and manage the contract going forwards. Whilst there is no cost associated with terminating the Section 76 Agreement, there may be costs incurred in seeking advice from Legal Services. Further financial information will be provided in the report to Cabinet Committee on 11 January 2018.

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Reference No:

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00072 - KCC Supported Bus Services in Thanet and

Sevenoaks consultation**The Decision needed:**

To agree proposed changes to selected bus services in Thanet and Sevenoaks effective from April 2019.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when**Who is taking the Decision**

Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Date:

Not before January 2019

Reason if Key Decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors**Consultees**

Public consultation is being held between 22nd November and the 19th December 2018. A report into the consultation outcome will be presented to Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 17 January 2019.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Reference No:

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00073 - Thanet Transport Strategy

The Decision needed:

The existing Thanet District Transport Plan was adopted in 2005. Many of the measures that were identified 13 years ago have been implemented successfully. However, the growth proposed within the emerging Thanet Local Plan has instigated a review of the existing transport challenges within the district and a new strategy is now required to meet the future needs of the local highway network.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Date:

January 2019

Reason if Key Decision

An EqlA has been completed. The TTS as an overarching strategy document, with detailed schemes being progressed at a later stage, therefore at this stage the impact of the TTS is expected to be low, with individual schemes being subject to their own EqlA at the time of inception. Any impacts that have been identified can be managed appropriately as set out within the EqlA action plan.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

County members have been subject to ongoing briefing sessions throughout the development process of the TTS. A further member briefing session was earmarked for week commencing 6th December 2018. All views conveyed by members will be included in the subsequent report to E&T Cabinet Committee.

The proposed decision will be considered at the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 17 January 2019.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

The TTS places no financial commitment onto KCC. It is expected that all infrastructure will be delivered using section 106 developer contributions or direct delivery by developers via an appropriate highway agreement.

External funding streams across the plan period will be fully explored as

appropriate. Scheme designs are being prepared where necessary to inform potential business cases for any funding streams as they are announced

Support documents

NOT BEFORE 2 JANUARY 2019 BY CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Reference No: None

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00068 - Managing Highways Infrastructure in Kent

The Decision needed:

To adopt and publish documents concerning highways asset management and our implementation of Well-managed Highway Infrastructure, to maximise Incentive Fund resource and continue to be well placed to defend claims.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Date:

Not before January 2019

Reason if Key Decision

None

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

The proposed decision will be considered at the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 17 January 2019.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

This decision does not have any additional financial implications

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

Reference No: The recommendation report will distinguish between those rates and charges over which Members can exercise their discretion, and those which are laid down by Parliament.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00067 - Rates Payable and Charges Levied for Adult Social Care Services 2019/2020

The Decision needed:

This proposed decision revises the rates payable and charges levied. It will enable the Council to raise funding, as required by Parliament, to help meet the cost of providing social care services to the people of Kent.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

Date:

Not before January 2019

Reason if Key Decision

None.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

The proposed decision will be discussed at the Adult Social Care Cabinet Committee on 12 March 2019.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

This decision revises the rates payable and charges levied. It will enable the Council to raise funding, as required by Parliament, to help meet the cost of providing social care services to the people of Kent.

Support documents

NOT BEFORE 19 DECEMBER 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services

Reference No: None.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00065 - SAN Replacement Programme

The Decision needed:

The current infrastructure to support the hardware, is end of life and is very costly to run and maintain on an annual basis. Support and maintenance cannot be secured when the current agreement comes to an end as parts are obsolete. Whilst the Council could proceed without support and maintenance this is integral to supporting KCC's ICT services and there would be a significant business risk to the Council if this was to fail.

Proposed decision – The Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services authorises the Director of Infrastructure in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services to award the contract(s) for the implementation of the storage replacement solution including the necessary contractual negotiations and enter into any subsequent necessary legal agreements.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services

Date:

Not before December 2018

Reason if Key Decision

None.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan**Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors****Consultees**

The matter was discussed at the Policy & Resources Cabinet Committee on 20th November 2018.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.**Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:**

The decision to replace the storage infrastructure commits the Council to spend in excess of over £1 million for the duration of the contract(s). The cost of the replacement is already built into Council's Medium-Term Financial Plan and is within the budgets that are allocated

Support documents

PROD
Report

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Reference No: None

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.**Title:**

18/00064 - A28 Thanet - Road Asset Renewal and Strengthening Works

The Decision needed:

During last year's Capital bidding round, Highways, Transportation and Waste submitted a bid for £31m to fund the resolution of 177 unfunded highway maintenance issues. This bid was separate to maintenance backlogs

reported in Kent County Council's Asset Management Strategy documents. The £31m bid related to a range of sites and issues that highway teams had identified had failed or will fail and which represented a significant safety or other risk to the business and are therefore being monitored. Funding of £7.52m for the highest priority 27 sites (high risk issues requiring intervention in the very short term) was approved and included in the capital budget, £3.495m for four sites in 2018/19, £2.925m for thirteen sites in 2019/20 and £1.1m for ten sites in 2020/21.

The £2.925m allocated for 2019/20 includes £2.5m for the major renewal and strengthening of the A28 in Thanet, principally the section between Birchington and Margate, and that is the subject of this key decision.

The A28 is an 'Other Strategic' road in our highway maintenance hierarchy. It also forms part of our Resilient Highway Network, the portion of our 5,400-mile road network that is considered to be absolutely vital to maintaining economic activity and access to key services during extreme weather emergencies and other major incidents. It is also likely to form part of the new Major Road Network that the Department for Transport has recently consulted on. (The MRN will be a new category of road between Highway England's Strategic Road Network and Local Authorities' local roads and this is being set up to put these important roads on a similar investment/improvement planning footing as motorways and trunk roads from 2021/22. Local Authorities will continue to be responsible for maintaining these roads and any funding streams are unlikely to be used for maintenance save major structural renewal.) This road is also a key link between the channel ports and Manston in respect of interim lorry parking arrangements. In short, this road is vital to the economy of East Kent.

As part of our regular mechanical condition surveys and safety inspections, we have identified that the existing road surface on the A28 between Birchington and Margate is nearing the end of its serviceable life. There are also signs of structural weakness in places. Most of these serious defects are not obvious to untrained eyes that tend to focus on pothole formation.

The main risk if left untreated is that the road surface will quickly deteriorate and need regular and numerous pothole repairs. In our professional judgement, we are very close to that eventuality now, and if allowed to occur would have a significant negative effect on the local economy as this is the only main road link into north Thanet from the west.

The road surface needs to be renewed throughout and, in some places, deeper strengthening repairs will be needed. We plan to deliver these works from April 2019 to avoid the summer holiday season. There are no commissioning implications relating to this decision as these works will be delivered under our recently awarded Road Asset Renewal Contract with Eurovia. There are also no planning or compulsory purchase aspects to this work.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste</p> <p>Date: Not before December 2018</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision None</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>
<p>Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors</p>
<p>Consultees</p> <p>The decision was considered and endorsed at the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 28 November 2018.</p>
<p>Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.</p>
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: This decision does not have any additional financial, as this funding was allocated during last year’s capital budget round.</p> <p>Support documents 18-00064 - PROD 18-00064 - Report 18-00064 - Indicative Plan of Works 18-00064 - EQIA</p>

NOT BEFORE 14 DECEMBER 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education</p> <p>Reference No: N/A</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.</p>
<p>Title: 18/00062 - Proposed changes to Specialist provision in</p>

Maidstone and Malling

The Decision needed:

Proposed Decision:

The Cabinet Member is asked to consider and endorse the recommendations to:

- i. increase the designated number of places offered at Five Acre Wood School from 330 to 465 places (including Satellite and Nursery provision) from 15 March 2019.
- ii. expand the Satellite provision of Five Acre Wood School at Holmesdale School, Malling Road, Snodland ME6 5HS from 70 to 150 students aged 11-19 with moderate to severe learning difficulties from 15 March 2019.
- iii. establish a Satellite provision of Five Acre Wood School at Palace Wood Primary School, Ash Grove, Allington, Maidstone, Kent, ME16 0AB for 30 students aged 4-11 with moderate to severe learning difficulties from 01 September 2019.
- iv. establish a Specialist Resourced Provision (SRP) within the school for students with an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP) for autistic spectrum disorders (ASD) at East Borough Primary School.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Date:

Not before December 2018

Reason if Key Decision

An Equality Impact Assessment has been produced and is accessible online via the following link: www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

15 January 2018 - the Commissioning Plan for Education Provision 2018-22 was endorsed by the Cabinet Committee.

In accordance with the Department for Education's Statutory Guidance (April 2016): Making 'prescribed alterations' to maintained schools, there was a need to undertake a formal statutory consultation process.

An education consultation has been completed prior to the cabinet committee.

Further information is available on the School Consultation webpage:

www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations

The results of the consultation were reported to the Children's, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee at the meeting on 29 th November 2018.
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: Capital – There is no additional capital expenditure required for this proposal. Revenue – FAW and East Borough will receive additional revenue funding in accordance with the Pupil Growth Policy established by KCC and the Schools' Funding Forum. Human - The schools will appoint additional staff as and when appropriate.</p> <p>Support documents PROD Report Appendix 1</p>

NOT BEFORE 4 DECEMBER 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No: The Kings Hill Development Agreement 1989 requires KCC to release the land to facilitate the partnership's aims.</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
<p>Title: 18/00063 - Kings Hill: Phase 3 (Areas 10,11 & 15) Freehold Disposal</p> <p>The Decision needed: As Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services, I agree to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note the terms of the disposal proposed by the partnership attached in CONFIDENTIAL appendix B and the valuation attached in CONFIDENTIAL appendix C. The Draw-down of land areas 10, 11 and 15 in accordance with the Kings Hill Partnership 1989 and subsequent agreements identified in appendix A of the report corresponding to this decision. Delegate to the Director of Infrastructure, in consultation with the Head of Paid Service and General Counsel, the finalisation of the process for draw

down in accordance with the provisions set out in the Kings Hill provisions within the Constitution's Property Management Protocol and the Kings Hill Partnership Agreement.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services

Date:

Not before December 2018

Reason if Key Decision

N/A (Land draw down to Partnership)

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

Consultation will be or has been undertaken with relevant Senior Officers and Members in accordance with The KCC Constitution (Property Management Protocol Paragraph 24).

The decision was considered at the Policy and Resources Cabinet Committee on 20 November 2018.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

KCC's share of the return from the partnership is reinvested into KCC's service delivery to support the Medium Term Financial Plan.

Support documents

NOT BEFORE 17 NOVEMBER 2018

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: The Kent Commissioning Plan for Education enables KCC to meet its Statutory responsibility to ensure that every child receives appropriate educational provision

Key Yes
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
<p>Title: 18/00058 - Commissioning Plan for Education Provision 2019-23</p> <p>The Decision needed: The Commissioning Plan for Education Provision in Kent (KCP) is a five year rolling plan which is updated annually. It sets out how Kent discharges its statutory responsibility, as the Strategic Commissioner of Education Provision, to provide sufficient Early Years, SEND, Primary and Secondary places and to ensure that there are appropriate learning pathways for pupils at Post 16. It is also our responsibility to ensure that we have enough places in the right locations, to meet the demands of increased pupil numbers and parental preferences. It reflects the fact that the Local Authority’s role has changed to being the commissioner, as well as continuing to be a provider, of education provision.</p> <p>Data from the Office for National Statics (ONS) and the Health Authority suggest that births across the County, which have fluctuated in recent years, rose slightly in 2016-17. The numbers of families with primary and secondary aged pupils migrating into the County continue to be greater than the numbers moving out, with a net increase in the year ending June 2017 of 1,575 pupils at primary age and 862 at secondary. The housing identified in District/Borough core plans or their variants suggest there is provision for up to 55,000 new homes across the period 2017-18 to 2022-23. These factors require increases in the provision of school places (particularly at the secondary school phase) in the coming years.</p>
Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet</p> <p>Date: Not before January 2019</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision A full EqlA has been completed</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>
Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>Individual consultations will be taken out on each proposal outlined in the</p>

Commissioning Plan if required.

Consultation will take place at the Children's, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee on 29 November 2019.

The decision will be considered and endorsed at Cabinet in January 2019.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

The Local Authority as Strategic Commissioner of Education Provision has a key role in securing funding to provide sufficient education provision in the County, particularly in schools, in order to meet its statutory responsibilities. The cost of providing additional school places is met from Government Basic Need Grant, supported borrowing by KCC and developer contribution monies. The forecast capital outlay needed to fulfil the need identified in the Commission Plan 2019-23 will be provided in the report to CYPE Cabinet Committee on 29 November 2019 for comment, prior to full sign off by Cabinet in January 2019.

Support documents

NOT BEFORE 29 AUGUST 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Reference No: Any legal implications have been identified in the report.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:
18/00046 - Proposed changes to Meadowfield (Foundation Special) School, Sittingbourne for 1 September 2019

The Decision needed:

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Date:

Not before December 2018

Reason if Key Decision

An Equality Impact Assessment has been via the following link:
www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan**Section 3 – Who is to be consulted, how and when, including relevant scrutiny councillors****Consultees**

A public consultation on the proposed expansion was carried out between 10 October and 14 November 2017. A drop-in information session for parents was held on 19 October 2017. An Equality Impact Assessment has been completed as part of the consultation. The documentation can be viewed online at: www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations

To meet the demand for places in Swale, Meadowfield has taken additional pupils. On 30 March 2017, KCC's Children and Young People's Services Cabinet Committee endorsed the proposal to allocate £1.14 million of capital budget to provide additional accommodation in two phases (Record of Decision reference 17/00015). Meadowfield received a reception classroom and new KS1 classrooms plus care facilities to accommodate the additional pupils in permanent accommodation.

On 18 January 2018 the Children, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee considered and endorsed the recommendations to issue a public notice to permanently increase the designated number of the school from 209 – 348 for 1 September 2019 and alter the lower age range at Meadowfield to formally include nursery provision from 1 September 2019.

The Children, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee also recommended the allocation of £3.95 million to the project. (Record of Decision 17/00132).

The cost of the build has since increased by £842,000 due to the increased cost of phase 3 due to materials specification and design changes and the additional of phase 3a consisting of two additional classrooms which are in addition to the original scope of the project but are required to accommodate further pupils that the school has had to admit.

At the meeting of the Children, Young People's and Education Cabinet Committee on 25 September Committee, Mr Gough (Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education) offered to provide a briefing note to Members of the Committee outside of the meeting, which would give further detail relating to the revised project cost. Mr Gough sent a letter to Members outlining reasons for the overspend on 23 November 2018 and highlighting the reduction in the estimated costs from £5,096,934 to

£4,792,000.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Kent County Council's contribution was agreed on 18 January to be £3.95 million. KCC acknowledged at the time that the final amount may be higher as the costs of the project were an estimate. If the cost of the project is greater than 10% the Cabinet Member will be asked to make a further decision to allocate additional funding. The reason for the increase in cost is due to design changes to meet the need of the school's students and the addition of 3a phase to meet additional Basic Need pressures. The school will receive increased funding through the Delegated Budget. Special schools are funded using the DfE Place Plus funding methodology for High Needs Pupils. Revenue funding will also be allocated to enable the School to resource the new classrooms. At present, this is funded at a rate of £6,000 per classroom. The School will appoint additional staff as the need arises.

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Reference No: Any legal implications will be identified in the report to the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education before he takes his decision.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00047 - Proposal to establish a 16 place Specialist Resource Provision (SRP) for Social, Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH) from September 2019 at St John's CE Primary School, Canterbury

The Decision needed:

As Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education, subject to no objections being received to the public notice, I propose to:

- (i) Issue a public notice to establish a 16 place Specialist Resource Provision (SRP) for Social, Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH) from September 2019.

(ii) Establish a 16 place Specialist Resource Provision (SRP) for Social, Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH)
Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education</p> <p>Date: Not before August 2018</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision An Equality Impact Assessment will be completed as part of the consultation.</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>
Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>A consultation is planned to take place from 10 September to 8 October 2018. A drop-in information session for parents will be scheduled during the consultation period.</p> <p>A report will be submitted to the Cabinet Member in October 2018 and the Children’s, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee will be updated at their meeting on 29 November 2018.</p>
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: The cost of the establishment of the SRP will be met through historic developer contributions identified for primary age provision in Canterbury City.</p> <p>Support documents</p>

NOT BEFORE 23 APRIL 2018 BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No: Risk Assessment / Survey Program The rolling programme of risk assessments / survey programme, forms an</p>

integral part of the statutory compliance package and are commissioned with the budgets within Infrastructure.

Legal Implications:

This Policy meets all legislative requirements and forms part of the Authority's planned preventative maintenance and statutory maintenance regimes.

Equality Implications: Completed

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

18/00017 Policy and Practice for the Management of Hot and Cold Water Systems

The Decision needed:

To approve the adoption of the Policy and Code of Practice for the Management of Hot and Cold-Water Systems

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services

Date:

Not before June 2018

Reason if Key Decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

This item was considered and endorsed at the meeting of the Policy & Resources Cabinet Committee on 16 March 2018

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

The rolling programme of risk assessments / survey programme, forms an integral part of the statutory compliance package which is delivered by the Total Facilities Management (TFM) contract and managed on a day to day basis by GEN2 as our managing agent. Therefore, water hygiene costs are not split out for the Corporate landlord buildings as they included within the

overall planned preventative maintenance and statutory maintenance costs.

Where KCC have responsibilities for the school risk assessments / survey programme, a fixed cost is attributed to the service provided by the TFM contractors.

Remedial works and maintenance of Corporate landlord and school water systems can be difficult to correctly estimate as it is dependent on the size and complexity of the system, together with management, reliability and potential damage issues.

For KCC schools, water systems that require remedial works are the responsibility of the school when they fall within their financial limits sho

Support documents

NOT BEFORE FEBRUARY BY CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Community and Regulatory Services

Reference No: Kent County Council (KCC) does not have a legal duty to provide sites in Kent for the Gypsy and Traveller community. This is a statutory duty placed upon the district and borough councils to discharge as part of their local plans. All local councils are required to produce an up-to-date Local Plan for their area, to guide the spatial development of the borough.

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

17/00135 - Pitch Allocation Policy for Gypsy and Traveller Service Change

The Decision needed:

To revise and update Kent County Council's (KCC) Gypsy and Traveller Service Allocations Policy and to the introduction of a service charge which will be subject to an annual increase in line with the Retail Price Index (RPI) for all plots on KCC managed sites, which will be used to maintain and support reasonable living standards for site residents.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Community and Regulatory Services

Date:

Not before July 2018

Reason if Key Decision

EQIA's have been completed to ensure these implications have been factored in and mitigated against where appropriate.

Ensure that the council's policies on Equality and Human Rights and customer care are met and promote the health and safety in quiet enjoyment of pitches by all its site residents and ensure that the operation of the allocation policy assists in meeting that outcome.

Acknowledge that the gypsy and traveller communities belong to recognised ethnic groups and are protected groups under the Equality Act 2010.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

Yes this has gone out to consultation and the closing date is: 20th December 2017.

We consulted:-

1. All of our site residents households across all affected sites
2. All affected district and borough councils specifically the Housing Benefit Departments x 6
3. Friends Families and Travellers (G&T Advocate Group)
4. KCC online consultation for all interested parties

This will go to the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 31st January 2018

All Divisions are affected.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

In summary, not implementing the service charge would lead to either the deterioration or closure of existing sites. So despite considering alternative approaches, it was clear that charging a service charge would be the best approach to protect the service and continue to deliver for residents and KCC has endeavoured to keep this charge well below rental market costs.

Support documents

--

NOT BEFORE JANUARY BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance and Traded Services</p> <p>Reference No: Provision of this service is a statutory responsibility. The market was tested to establish levels of interest.</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.</p>
<p>Title: 17/00125 - Healthwatch Kent interim contract</p> <p>The Decision needed: Proposed decision: To agree:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) the implementation of an interim contract for the provision of a Local Healthwatch for Kent for the period April 1st 2018 to March 31st 2020, with sufficient break clauses to end earlier where appropriate; andb) To incorporate the Service User Forum and Mental health Action Groups into the Healthwatch Kent contract <p>How the decision relates to Corporate Objectives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Commissioning Framework Principle 7: Customers at the heart of our commissioning approach• KCC’s commissioning of a Healthwatch for Kent is required by duties under the Health and Social Care Act 2012, which built upon the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 <p>The matter is referred to in the Business Plan/Medium Term Capital Programme.</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance and Traded Services</p> <p>Date: Not before January 2018</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision No equalities implications – whole Kent provision.</p>

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan
Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>The proposed incorporation of the Service User Forum and Mental health Action Groups into the Healthwatch Kent contract was discussed by the Adult Social Care Cabinet Committee on 23 November 2017.</p> <p>The interim contract will be discussed by the Health Reform and Public Health Cabinet Committee on 24 January 2018.</p>
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: The value of the proposed interim contract is up to £1.46M (£730k pa) for the period 1 April 2018 to 31 March 2020.</p> <p>Support documents</p>

NOT BEFORE OCTOBER BY CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No: None</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
<p>Title: 17/00094 - Disposal of Land East of Great Chart Primary School, Singleton</p> <p>The Decision needed: Approval to the Director of Infrastructure to progress with and enter into the necessary documentation to complete the disposal of the aforementioned property in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services. The Decision will seek legal agreements to be actioned to complete the sale of the relevant properties.</p>

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services</p> <p>Date: Not before October 2017</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision None</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan Securing a capital receipt to fund the capital programme and to streamline the Council's property portfolio to achieve financial and efficiency benefits in line with appropriate policy</p>
Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>Property decisions to be discussed at the Property Sub Committee.</p>
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: Property holding costs will cease upon sale.</p> <p>Support documents</p>

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste</p> <p>Reference No: None</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
<p>Title: 17/00084 - (Phase 2) A274 Sutton Road, Maidstone at its junction with Willington Street</p> <p>The Decision needed: The Willington Street Junction Improvement Scheme is to help reduce</p>

congestion on the Sutton Road corridor on the A274 strategic route. Public engagement on the revised scheme layout will be carried out during August 2017, a report will be presented to advise the feedback and potential amendments including any related mitigation measures.

The scheme supports policy objectives of supporting existing businesses and encouraging economic activity with housing growth and job creation by reducing congestion and improving infrastructure and accessibility.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and Waste

Date:

Not before October 2017

Reason if Key Decision

None - detailed scheme design will consider equalities aspects

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

On-going liaison and engagement with residents and business community as required and at appropriate stages of the scheme development.

The decision will be discussed at the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 21st September 2017.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

The estimate cost is £3m and will covered under existing budget allocations from the Local Growth Fund and Section 106 Developer Contributions.

Support documents

NOT BEFORE JUNE BY CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Leader and Cabinet Member for Health Reform

Reference No: There are no direct legal implications of the recommendations in this report, although should an TfSE SNTB be established by the Secretary of the State following the work set out in this report, then there would be legal implications arising from the establishment of the SNTB which itself would be a body corporate

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

16/00120 Sub National Transport Board for the South-East

The Decision needed:

KCC Membership of a shadow Sub- National Transport Body for the South East known as Transport for the South East (TfSE)

The Cities and Local Government Devolution Act makes provision for the establishment and constitution of Sub-National Transport Bodies (SNTBs) for any area in England (outside of Greater London. An SNTB can prepare a Transport Strategy for an area which would set out proposals for the promotion and encouragement of safe, sustainable, integrated, efficient and economic strategic transport facilities and services to and from the area of the SNTB.

The South East Seven (SE7) Councils (Kent, East Sussex, West Sussex, Hampshire and Surrey County Councils, and Brighton & Hove City Council and Medway Council) and the Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) that represent the area have discussed the establishment of an SNTB for the South East, to be called Transport for the South East (TfSE). A TfSE on this geographic scale would fulfil the DfT’s minimum geographic size requirements. Discussions will shortly commence with Portsmouth, Southampton and the Isle of Wight and the Solent LEP about joining TfSE.

The proposed TfSE would enables authorities to influence national and regional infrastructure providers, helping to secure the infrastructure required to support continuing economic growth. A TfSE would see Government, South East Transport Authorities and/or Combined Authorities and LEPs working together with Highways England, Network Rail and port, airport and bus operators. TfSE would require strategic transport providers to take account of its priorities.

TfSE would provide a mechanism for the area to speak with a strong, common voice on transport infrastructure and provide a single platform for strategic transport and infrastructure issues, giving partners greater, and potentially direct, influence over decisions that are currently made elsewhere. Its key outcome will be the development of a single, strategic transport infrastructure framework which would align the investment programmes from key agencies, such as Highways England, Network Rail and the LEPs.

Options

- Do nothing and not engage in the development of the SNTB for the Southeast.
- Set up the SNTB for Kent and Medway, but this would not be supported by DfT
- Engage in the development of the Shadow SNTB Board and Transport Strategy for the Southeast

How the proposed decision meets the objectives of 'Increasing Opportunities, Improving Outcomes: Kent County Council's Strategic Statement (2015-2020)'

The cornerstone of TfSE is the Transport Strategy. It will build upon existing Local Transport Plans, including Kent's *Local Transport Plan 4: Delivering Growth without Gridlock*, currently out to consultation, and evidence already in place amongst the constituent Authorities, including the LEP's Strategic Economic Plans and growth and infrastructure frameworks/studies being undertaken by a number of upper-tier Authorities, including the *Kent and Medway Growth and Infrastructure Framework*. In this way, the decision would support the Council's second strategic outcome, "Communities benefit from economic growth by being in work, healthy and enjoying a good quality of life."

Financial Implications

It is estimated that appropriate support for developing the shadow SNTB and the overarching Transport Strategy would total £200,000 of which KCC's contribution is £20,000.

Legal Implications

There are no direct legal implications of the recommendations in this report, although should an TfSE SNTB be established by the Secretary of the State following the work set out in this report, then there would be legal implications arising from the establishment of the SNTB which itself would be a body corporate.

Equalities implications

There are no equality implications to establish the Shadow TfSE

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Leader and Cabinet Member for Health Reform

Date:

Not before June 2017

Reason if Key Decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>The proposal was considered and endorsed at the meeting of the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee on 17 November 2016.</p>
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: The financial implication of the recommendation is the £20,000 that KCC is proposing to contribute to the development of the SNTB Shadow Board and the associated Transport Strategy and this will be sourced from existing budgets within the Environment, Planning and Enforcement Division.</p> <p>Support documents 1600120 PROD 1600120 Subnational Transport Board</p>

LONG TERM

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No:</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
<p>Title: Lawn Primary School, Gravesend - New Playing Field</p> <p>The Decision needed: Update 01 February 2017: Officers have confirmed that the decision is still ‘live’ and that KCC continue to work with the landowner regarding use of the land and any consequential s106 arrangements. The process is expected to be lengthy and is likely to be ongoing for the duration of 2017.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The Cabinet Member will be asked to agree that KCC take a long lease of 99 years at a nominal rent from Lafarge to facilitate expansion of school roll. Lafarge to create new playing field and to maintain subsoil thereafter. The Playing Field is being delivered as part of a s106 Agreement being a</p>

contribution to mitigate the impact of adjacent development of 510 homes upon Lawn Primary School and free up space on the existing Lawn PS site to enable expansion of the existing school buildings from 1FE to 2FE.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services

Date:

Before January 2018

Reason if Key Decision

This decision is considered as a key decision owing to the length of the lease, in accordance with the Council's Property Management Protocol

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

The proposed Cabinet Member decision will be considered by the Property Sub-Committee at its meeting scheduled 27 March 2015

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Lead officer: Kahren Knott – Estates Surveyor, Property & Infrastructure Support

03000 416356

kahren.knott@kent.gov.uk

Lead Director: Rebecca Spore – Director of Property & Infrastructure Support

03000 416716

Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Reference No: 16/00101

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

16/00101 - Proposal to expand Marden Primary School

The Decision needed:

The Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education will be asked to agree to:

- i. Expand Marden Primary School, Goudhurst Road, Marden, Tonbridge, Kent, TN12 9JX, from 280 places to 420 places, increasing the published admission number (PAN) from 40 to 60 for Year R entry in September 2018
- ii. Allocate the budget from the Basic Needs budget (full details to be provided within the Education and Young People’s Services Cabinet Committee Report)

In accordance with Appendix 4 Part 2 16 (a) of the Council’s constitution, the Council’s Executive Scheme of Officer Delegation provides that “Once a Member-level decision has been taken.....the implementation of that decision should be delegated to officers...” In this instance it is envisaged that the Director of Infrastructure will inherit the authority to act and will enter into any necessary contracts/ agreements on behalf of the County Council or further delegate responsibilities in accordance with 16 (c) of the same scheme “Senior Managers exercising delegated powers will...be able to sub-delegate...functions to more junior officers”

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Education

Date:

Not before January 2018

Reason if Key Decision

This proposal will help “to ensure that Kent’s young people have access to the education....necessary to support Kent business to grow ...” as set out in ‘Increasing Opportunities, Improving Outcomes: Kent County Council’s Strategic Statement (2015 - 2020)’ and supports delivery of KCC’s responsibility to provide sufficient, high quality places where they are needed as set out in the ‘Commissioning Plan for Education Provision in Kent 2016-2020’

Financial Implications: Will be set out in the report that will be considered by the Children’s, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee.

Legal Implications: Any legal implications will be identified in the report that will be considered by the Children’s, Young People and Education Cabinet Committee

Equality Implications: An Equality Impact Assessment has been produced and can be viewed here: www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations .

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan
Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors
<p>Consultees</p> <p>The Commissioning Plan for Education Provision 2016-20 identified Marden as an area of future need and Marden Primary School was therefore proposed for expansion to meet that predicted demand. The Plan was considered and endorsed by the Education and Young People’s Services Cabinet Committee on 15 December 2015 prior to the final version being considered and approved by Cabinet on 21 March 2016.</p> <p>A public consultation commenced on 23 September 2016 and closed on 21 October 2016. Further information is available on the School Consultation webpage: www.kent.gov.uk/schoolconsultations</p> <p>The outcome of the consultation was due to be reported to Education and Young People’s Services Cabinet Committee on 23 November. The report was deferred, pending further discussions on the design and planning process and will be considered by the Cabinet Committee at its meeting scheduled for 22 June 2017</p>
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: Jared Nehra, Area Education Officer for West Kent 03000 412209 Jared.nehra@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Support documents</p>

UPDATE AWAITED

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No: 13/00095</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.
Title:

Disposal - Residential Land adjoining Great Clayne Lane Farm, Gravesend.

The Decision needed:

To seek approval for the Director of Property and Infrastructure Support in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Corporate and Democratic Services to progress with and enter into the necessary legal documentation to complete the disposal of residential land adjoining Great Clayne Lane Farm, Gravesend following the marketing of the site. The site has been marketed and offers received, shortlisted and a preferred purchaser has been identified. The Decision will seek for legal agreements to be actioned to complete the sale of the property.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services

Date:

Not before October 2015

Reason if Key Decision

Financial criteria is likely to be exceeded

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

Local Members Colin Caller and Jane Cribbon will be consulted before the decision is taken and all members of the council notified.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Lead officer: Rebecca Spore
Director of Property & Infrastructure Support
Tel: 01622 - 221151
E-Mail: rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services</p> <p>Reference No: 14.00022</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council’s Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.</p>
<p>Title: Former Shepway Resource Centre, Folkestone - Disposal</p> <p>The Decision needed: LATEST UPDATE: 19/05/2014 - Decision due date changed from 18/02/2014 to 01/08/2014. REASON: The proposed decision to dispose of the Centre was delayed while internal processes were undertaken to ensure that no other suitable use could be found before disposal. This process is complete and the former resource centre will be placed on the market shortly. Responses from the market will be gauged after a period of approximately 3 months and a proposal for the site put forward at that time. The decision will be considered by the Property Sub-Committee or the Policy and Resources cabinet Committee before being taken by the Cabinet Member.</p> <p>----- -----</p> <p>To seek approval to the disposal of the former Shepway Resource Centre following the marketing of the site</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services</p> <p>Date: Not before October 2015</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>
<p>Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors</p>
<p>Consultees</p> <p>The proposed decisions will be discussed at Policy & Resources’ Property Sub Committee following marketing. The property is situated within the electoral division of Folkestone West.</p>

Cllr Hod Birkby has been consulted.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Alyson McKenna,
01622 696032
alyson.mckenna@kent.gov.uk
Rebecca Spore,
01622 221151
rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

DEFERRED

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services

Reference No:

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:
Sandwich Highways Depot

The Decision needed:

Update 09/03/2015:

DEFERRED: The disposals team at KCC are currently working on new plans for the site in order to ensure that the maximum value is secured; surveys are being carried out with a view to marketing the site in the future dependent on the outcome of those surveys.

Latest Update:

19/05/2014: Decision due date changed from 23/12/2013 to 'Not before' 01/11/2014.
REASON: The disposals team at KCC are currently working on new plans for the site in order to ensure that the maximum value for the site is secured. Options appraisal work is underway and this work is expected to take approx. 6 months, therefore an expected decision date is set for November.

To seek approval to proceed with the disposal of the above site to raise a capital receipt which will be allocated to support the capital programme.

To seek approval to identify and progress with the acquisition of a new site for the service.

The Director of Property and Infrastructure Support will be authorised to finalise terms for both the disposal and acquisition of a new site.

This decision is needed to enable a modern highway service operation to be provided in the East Kent Area that is both reactive and responsive to changing demands. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent.

- Firstly it helps deliver the “Kent Environment Strategy” by the provision of new environmentally friendly facilities (e.g. grey water) via the construction technique with the eventual adaptation of new work practices within the new depot.
- Secondly it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes.
- Thirdly by the better siting and provision of facilities for the new depot it ensures that the most robust and effective public protection arrangements are in place for road management including bad weather response.

The impact of the decision is that full assessment of the potential disposal of the site can be explored within the market place to ensure that best value principles are adhered to. In tandem a full search and feasibility study will be undertaken to identify a new location for the alternative highways depot which satisfies the above objectives.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Corporate & Democratic Services

Date:

Reason if Key Decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

The matter was considered by the Property Sub Committee of the Policy and resources Cabinet Committee at its meeting on 27th February 2013. The proposed decision was endorsed by the Committee.

The report to committee was exempt from publication and consideration of it took place in private.

Public minutes can be viewed by clicking on the link below:

<https://democracy.kent.gov.uk/documents/g5176/Printed%20minutes%2027th-Feb-2013%2014.00%20Property%20Sub-Committee.pdf?T=1>

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Support documents

Responsible Cabinet Member -

Reference No: 12/020231

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to the Council's Corporate Outcomes and the Costs and risks involved.

Title:

Eden Centre Lease

The Decision needed:

LATEST UPDATE:

19/05/2014 - Decision due date changed from 01/03/2014 to 01/01/2015.

REASON: The decision remains at the earliest stages of investigation and options appraisal. A further review will take place in January 2015 when a decision pathway will be identified and the proposed decision updated further.

To seek agreement to the principle of granting a long lease to a charitable community interest company or similar vehicle, of The Eden Centre, Four Elms Road, Edenbridge.

The proposal directly links to Bold Steps for Kent, putting the citizen in control, building new partnerships and providing a new fit for purpose building to deliver Library and FSC services in conjunction with other partners such as the Citizen's Advice Bureau and House (West Kent Action), to support Kent's more vulnerable citizens.

The impact of the decision will be that KCC will have less control of the management and operation of the centre as they would become the responsibility of a third party.

Risks identified are:

- Reliance on the professionalism of the trustees to deliver a successful facility, agreeing with all partners a financial model for the charitable vehicle
- Reputational damage to KCC if the charitable vehicle were to fail
- Obtaining buy in from all community groups in occupation to form trust
- Untried and untested model

- Level of income generation from the centre which could go to the charitable vehicle is currently unknown as a new facility
- Continued KCC ownership of a non-core asset
- Officers may be unable to get agreement from stakeholders, charitable vehicle does not prove financially sustainable or the trust may break down and charitable vehicle will have to pick up void periods and associated costs (benefit to KCC).

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Date:

Reason if Key Decision

Not a key decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – – Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors

Consultees

The Eden Church, Citizen’s Advice Bureau, House (West Kent Action), Edenbridge Library and FSC as partners in the centre. It is expected that representatives from all of these occupier groups will be represented by the charitable vehicle trustees, along with Sevenoaks District Council and Edenbridge Town Council.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore - Director of Property & Infrastructure Tel no 01622 22115, Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Barbara Cooper, Director of Economic Development. Tel no 01622 221856, Barbara.cooper@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

- Who is to be consulted, how and when, (The Duty to Inform/consult/Involve) including relevant scrutiny councillors